

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT

Applicant(s):

Mark S. Moir et al.

Title:

OBSTRUCTION-FREE SYNCHRONIZATION FOR SHARED DATA

STRUCTURES

Application No.: 10/620,748

Filed:

July 16, 2003

Examiner:

Lewis Alexander

Group Art Unit:

2195

Atty. Docket No.: 004-8252

Bullock Jr.

Confirmation No.:

8974

December 28, 2005

Mail Stop Amendment COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)

Dear Sir:

ids-4.doc

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, § 1.97 and § 1.98, the undersigned brings to the Examiner's attention in the above-identified application the patents, publications, applications or other information identified in the attached:

	Form(s) PTO/SB/08A (N/A page(s), with copy of no reference(s)).
\boxtimes	Form(s) PTO/SB/08B (1 page(s), with copy of 10 reference(s)).
	Listing of Pending Applications (N/A page(s), with copy of no application(s))
	Other: N/A

Citation of such information shall not be construed as (i) an admission that the information necessarily is, or corresponds to, prior art with respect to the instant invention, (ii) a representation that a search has been made, other than as described herein, or (iii) an admission that the information cited herein is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in § 1.56(b).

For each item of information listed that is not in the English language, the undersigned has provided a concise explanation of the relevance through (i) an English language abstract, (ii) an English language equivalent application, or (iii) if cited in a search report or other action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, an English language version of the search report or action that indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office.

- 1 -

CERTAIN COPIES NOT INCLUDED

Pursuant to operative regulations, certain copies need not be provided and corresponding information is identified in this Information Disclosure Statement by citation only. In particular,

mioni	iation is identified in this information L	Disclosure Statement by citation only. In particular,						
\boxtimes	In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2)(ii), copies of cited U.S. Patents and U.S. Patent Application Publications are not included.							
	Certain information was submitted to, or cited by, the Office in Application No(s). N/A, filed N/A, to which the above-identified application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d), copies of that information are not included.							
	Pursuant to 1287 OG 163 (October 19, 2004), for those cited pending U.S. patent applications filed (or which entered the national stage under 35 U.S.C. § 371) on or after June 30, 2003 or which are otherwise stored in the USPTO's Image File Wrapper system, copies are not included.							
FEE AUTHORIZATION								
	This Information Disclosure Statement is filed within three months of the filing date of a national application other than a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d) or within three months of entry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application. Therefore, no fee is required.							
\boxtimes	The undersigned believes that this Information Disclosure Statement is being filed before the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits or before the mailing date of a first Office action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. Therefore, no fee is believed required.							
	re Statement is filed after the period specified in norizes the Commissioner to charge the fee set forth 0-0631.							
CER	TIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION	Respectfully submitted,						
	certify that, on the date shown below, this adence is being	11 4 1 8						
deposited with the US Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail and addressed as shown above.		Kimbuly Eless						
facsi	mile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark Office.	Kimberley Elcess, Reg. No. 36,128 Agent for Applicant(s)						
K	Ele 28-00-05	(512) 338-6321 (direct)						
	Kimberley Elcess Date	(512) 338-6300 (main)						

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL:

(512) 338-6300 (main) (512) 338-6301 (fax)

PTO/SB/08B	(Substitu	ite for form 1449/PTO)	A	ttorney Docket No.:	004-8252			
			A	pplication No.:	10/620,748			
P ENFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				First Named Inventor: Mark S. Moir				
/6	E A	1	F	Filing Date: July 16, 2003				
DEC 3 0 JODS R			G	Group Art Unit: 2195				
PART TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR			E	xaminer Name:	miner Name: Lewis Alexander Bullock Jr.			
Sheet I of I				Date Submitted: December 28, 2005				
		NON PATENT LITI	ERATURE DOCUMEN	rs				
Examiner Initials*	when appropriate), ti page(s), volume-issue ıblished.	number(s),	T ²					
	1	HERLIHY, M.P., "Wait-Free Synchronization," ACM Transactions On Programming Languages and Systems, 11(1):124–149, January 1991.						
	2	MASSALIN, H., et al., "A Lock-Free Multiproces University, New York, NY, 1991, 21 pages.	ssor OS Kernel," Technica	I Report TR CUCS-005	–9, Columbia			
	3	MASSALIN, Henry, "Synthesis: An Efficient Implementation of Fundamental Operating System Services," Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY, online, 158 pages, 1992 [retrieved from the Internet on 2001-07-13: URL:ftp://ftp.cs.columbia.edu/reports/reports-1992/cucs-039-92.ps.gz].						
	4	BERSHAD, B. N., "Practical Considerations For Non-Blocking Concurrent Objects," <i>Proceedings 13th IEEE International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems</i> , pp. 264–273. IEEE Computer Society Press, Washington, D.C., 1993.						
	5 ATTIYA, Hagit, et al., "Are Wait-Free Algorithms Fast?" Journal of the ACM, 41(4):725–763, July 1994.							
	6	6 LAMARCA, A., "A performance evaluation of lock-free synchronization protocols," <i>Proceedings of the 13th Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing</i> , pp. 130–140, ACM Press, New York, NY, 1994.						
ATTIYA, H., et al., "Universal Operations: Unary versus Binary," Proceedings of the 15th Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing, pp. 223-232, ACM Press, New York, NY, 1996. GREENWALD, M. B., et al., "The Synergy Between Non-Blocking Synchronization And Operating System Structure," Proceedings of the 2nd Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation, pp. 123 Usenix Association, Berkeley, CA, 1996.								
								Symposium on
ATTIYA, Hagit, et al., "Atomic Snapshots In O(n log n) Operations," SIAM Journal on Computing, 27(2):319–340, April 1998.								
1 100 - S								
Examiner Signature		Di	ate Considered					

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional).

Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.